

**Barko Vadym I.,**

Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Professor,  
Chief Researcher, State Research Institute MIA Ukraine,  
Kyiv, Ukraine,  
ORCID ID 0000-0003-4962-0975,

**Barko Vadym V.,**

Candidate of Pedagogic Sciences,  
Senior Researcher, State Research Institute MIA Ukraine,  
Kyiv, Ukraine,  
ORCID ID 0000-0002-3836-2627,

**Kyriienko Liudmyla,**

Leading Researcher,  
State Research Institute MIA Ukraine,  
Kyiv, Ukraine,  
ORCID ID 0000-0001-9394-1288

**DEVELOPMENT OF A UKRAINIAN-SPEAKING QUESTIONNAIRE ON  
TOLERANCE TO UNCERTAINTY FOR USE BY THE NATIONAL  
POLICE OF UKRAINE**

The article is devoted to the question of Ukrainian-speaking adaptation of psychodiagnostic method "The questionnaire of tolerance to the uncertainty of S. Badner". The research was aimed at improving the system of professional psychological selection of personnel for the National Police of Ukraine. The current interest in the study of the level of individual tolerance to uncertainty is connected with research, indicates the practical significance of this construct in various fields of activity; many authors claim that tolerance to uncertainty is

important for success in legal, medical, pedagogical and other professions. According to research findings, tolerance for uncertainty may correlate with intelligence, and it is also related to the factors of the "Big Five" questionnaire: positive with openness of experience and extraversion, negative - with neuroticism.

The article describes the theoretical basis and internal structure of the adaptation process, discloses the procedure and results of the research on the reliability and validity of the adapted Badner's questionnaire, the obtained average standard indicators for the general sample of police officers and defines new standardized test norms. According to the results of the statistical analysis, a decrease in tolerance to uncertainty among women has been identified, as well as an age-related decrease in this indicator in the police force. The conclusion is made that such quality of a person as tolerance to uncertainty will provide increase of efficiency of activity of policemen, will allow them to endure unforeseen situations better, to search for variable decisions of tasks in view, will increase stress resistance, will strengthen productive mechanisms of psychological protection of a person (rationalization, sublimation, displacement) and psychological readiness for actions in special and extreme conditions. The results of the study confirmed the diagnostic verification of the adapted questionnaire.

**Keywords:** tolerance to uncertainty, psychodiagnostic techniques, Ukrainian language adaptation, reliability, validity, average normative indices, standardized test norms.

## REFERENCES

1. *Asmolov A.G.* (2001). *Psikhologiya lichnosti: printsipy obshchepsikhologicheskogo analiza*. "Psychology of personality: principles of general psychological analysis". M.: Smysl. [in Russian].
2. *Badyu A.* (2013). *Filosofiya i sobytiye*. "Philosophy and event". M.: IOI. [in Russian].
3. *Vulf K.* (2013). *Vmesto predisloviya: neopredelennost' kak usloviye chelovecheskoy zhizni*. V kn. *Vulf K. , Savchuk V. Neopredelennost' kak vyzov*. Media. Antropologiya. Estetika. "Instead of a preface: uncertainty as a condition of

human life”. In the book. Wulf K., Savchuk V. Uncertainty as a challenge. Media Anthropology. Aesthetics. St. Petersburg: RCAA. P. 5-6. [in Russian].

4. *Zinchenko V.P.* (2007). Tolerantnost k neopredelennosti: novost ili psikhologicheskaya traditsiya? V kn. Bolotova A.K. Chelovek v situatsii neopredelennosti. “Tolerance to uncertainty: news or psychological tradition?” In the book. Bolotova A.K. Man in a situation of uncertainty. M.: TEIS. P. 9–33. [in Russian].

5. *Kaneman D., Slovik P., Tverski A.* (2005). Prinyatiye resheniy v neopredelennosti: Pravila i predubezhdeniya. “Decision making in uncertainty: Rules and prejudices”. Kharkov: Humanitarian Center. [in Russian].

6. *Kornilova T.V.* (2010). Printsip neopredelennosti v psikhologii: osnovaniya i problemy. Psikhologicheskiye issledovaniya. “The principle of uncertainty in psychology: the foundations and problems”. Psychological research. № 3 (11), 11 p. [in Russian].

7. *Kornilova T.V., Chumakova M.A.* (2014). Shkaly tolerantnosti i intolerantnosti k neopredelennosti v modifikatsii oprosnika S. Badnera. Eksperimentalnaya psikhologiya. “Scales of tolerance and intolerance to uncertainty in the modification of the S. Badner questionnaire”. Experimental Psychology. No. 1. P. 92–110. [in Russian].

8. *Kuvakin V.A., Kovaleva V.P.* (2006). Neizvestnost. “The unknown”. M.: Regular and chaotic dynamics. [in Russian].

9. *Leontyev D.A.* (2003). Ekzistentsialnaya trevoga i kak s ney ne borotsya. “Existential anxiety and how not to deal with it”. Moscow Psychotherapeutic Journal, 2003. No. 2. P. 107–119. [in Russian].

10. *Leontyev D.A.* (2013). Lichnostnoye izmereniye chelovecheskogo razvitiya. “The personal dimension of human development”. Questions of Psychology. No. 3. P. 67–80. [in Russian].

11. *Leontyev D.A., Osin Ye.N.* (2007). Pechat ekzistentsializma: empiricheskiye korrelyaty ekzistentsialnogo mirovozzreniya. Ekzistentsialnaya traditsiya: filosofiya, psikhologiya, psikhoterapiya. “Seal of existentialism:

empirical correlates of an existential worldview”. *Existential Tradition: Philosophy, Psychology, Psychotherapy*, 2007. No. 1(10). P. 121-130. [in Russian].

12. *Lobok A.M.* (2001). *Veroyatnostnyy mir*. “The probabilistic world”. Ekaterinburg: AMB. [in Russian].

13. *Maddi S.* (2005). *Smysloobrazovaniye v protsessakh prinyatiya resheniya*. “Meaning in decision-making processes”. *Psychological journal*. No. 26 (6). P. 87–101. [in Russian].

14. *Mey R.* (2001). *Smysl trevogi*. “The meaning of anxiety”. M.: Class. [in Russian].

15. *Osin Ye.N.* (2010). *Faktornaya struktura russkoyazychnoy versii shkaly obshchey tolerantnosti k neopredelennosti D. Makleyna*. “The factor structure of the Russian-language version of the scale of general tolerance to uncertainty D. MacLane”. *Psychological Diagnostics*, 2010. No.2. P. 65–86. [in Russian].

16. *Prigozhin I.* (1991). *Filosofiya nestabilnosti*. “Philosophy of instability”. *Questions of Philosophy*. No.6. P. 46–52. [in Russian].

17. *Sobchik L.N.* (2003). *Psikhologiya individualnosti*. “Psychology of personality”. *Theory and practice of psychodiagnostics*. SPb. : Speech. 624 p. [in Russian].

18. *Sokolova Ye.T.* (2015). *Klinicheskaya psikhologiya utraty Ya*. “Clinical Psychology of the Loss of I”. M.: Smusl. [in Russian].

19. *Taleb N.N.* (2014). *Antikhrupkost: kak izvlech vygodu iz khaosa*. “Anti-fragility: how to capitalize on chaos”. M.: Hummingbird. [in Russian].

20. *Frankl V.* (1990). *Chelovek v poiskakh smysla*. “Man in search of meaning”. M.: Progress. [in Russian].

21. *Fromm E.* (1992). *Dusha cheloveka*. “The soul of man”. M.: Republika. [in Russian].

22. *Epshteyn M.N.* (2004). *Znak\_probela: o budushchem gumanitarnykh nauk*. “Space\_bar: The future of the humanities. M.: NLO. [in Russian].

23. *Giorgi A.* (1992). Whither Humanistic Psychology? *The Humanistic Psychologist*, 20(2–3), P. 422–438. [in English].

24. *Glimcher P.W.* (2005). Indeterminacy in brain and behavior. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 56(1), P. 25–56. [in English].

25. *Proulx T., Heine S.J., Vohs K.D.* (2010). When is the unfamiliar the uncanny? Measuring affirmation after exposure to absurdist literature, humor and art. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 36(6), P. 817–829. [in English].

Received: 05.06.2019